Pergamon Press.

Cryst. 7, 288.

McGraw-Hill.

III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

(1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 423.

calculate coupling constants of 5.5 and 0.2 c.p.s. The first is in very good agreement with J_1 .

Our thanks are due to Mr Kreuger for his participation in the experimental work. We are greatly indebted to Dr C. H. Stam for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

ARCHER, S., LEWIS, T. R. & ZENITZ, B. (1958). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 80, 958.

Acta Cryst. (1970). B26, 1487

Neutron Diffraction Study of NH₄Br and NH₄I

BY R.S.SEYMOUR School of Physics, University of N.S.W., Kensington 2033, Australia

AND A. W. PRYOR

Australian Atomic Energy Commission, N.S.W., Lucas Heights, Sutherland 2232, Australia

(Received 27 October 1969)

NH₄Br, which has the caesium-chloride structure, and NH₄I, which has the sodium chloride structure, have been studied by neutron diffraction, mainly to determine the orientation and vibrational behaviour of the NH₄ groups. For NH₄Br, a model with eight $\frac{1}{2}$ -hydrogen atoms in $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions, and isotropic, Gaussian libration of the hydrogen atoms, seemed to provide an adequate destription of the diffraction results with physically plausible parameters. For NH₄I, the hydrogen distribution has broad peaks in the six $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions and unusually large vibration amplitudes for the ions; the most profitable method of refinement was to describe the hydrogen distribution by Kubic Harmonics.

Introduction

NH₄Br has the caesium chloride structure in the temperature range -38 to 138° C. It is obvious that the NH₄ tetrahedra must be oriented with N–H bonds in $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions. Two orientations are possible and it has been established by Levy & Peterson (1953) that there is complete disorder between them.

Above 138° C NH₄Br transforms to the sodium chloride structure but crystals large enough for neutron difiraction break up in the transition. Therefore, to study ammonium halides in the sodium chloride phase, we used crystals of NH₄I, which has this structure above -17.6° C. In this structure there is no obvious way in which the tetrahedral NH₄ ion can fit in with the octahedral symmetry of the NH₄ site. Several possible models were discussed by Levy & Peterson (1953) but none of them was clearly established.

Collection and analysis of data on NH₄Br

A single crystal of NH₄Br was grown from an aqueous solution doped with urea. Its approximate dimensions were $7 \times 4 \times 2$ mm. Data were collected on a four-circle computer-controlled neutron diffractometer at the Australian Atomic Energy Commission. The instrument has been described by Pryor, Ellis & Dullow (1969). At room temperature 50 reflexions were measured with a wavelength of 1.05 Å, and 30 at a wavelength of 1.55 Å. The crystal was then mounted in a silica tube packed with alumina wool. A fine heater wire was spiralled inside the tube and supplied from a stabilized d.c. supply. At 120° C, 50 reflexions were measured at wavelength 1.05 Å and, at 136° C, 50 reflexions at 1.17 Å. In every case three symmetry-related equivalents of each reflexion were measured.

CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1961). In Computing Methods and

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol.

SCHENK, H., MACGILLAVRY, C. H., SKOLNIK, S. & LAAN, J.

VISSER, J. W., MANASSEN, J. & DE VRIES, J. L. (1954). Acta

WILLIAMS, D. H. & FLEMING, I. (1966). Spectroscopic

Methods in Organic Chemistry, p. 104. New York:

the Phase problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis. Oxford:

The observed intensities were corrected for absorption, using an absorption coefficient, μ , of 2.5 cm⁻¹, with the program *CDRABS* (written by G. W. Cox and M. M. Elcombe, of A.A.E.C.). This program also provides values of the mean path length $\overline{T} = \int T \exp(-\mu T) dv/\int \exp(-\mu T) dv$, where T is the path length in the crystal, which is required for extinction corrections. In these ammonium salts, as in all other hydrogen-containing materials, the true value of the absorption coefficient, μ , is not known. However in these refinements, described next, for extinction and structural parameters, no result was altered outside 1 estimated standard deviation by varying μ between 2.0 and 3.0 cm⁻¹.

The absorption-corrected data were subjected to a preliminary refinement based on the structural model described below. Comparison of the observed and calculated structure factors indicated that the data were probably affected by extinction. It was assumed that primary extinction was negligible and the single extinction parameter r^* was added to the refinement program to correct for secondary extinction using the formula (Zachariasen, 1968),

$$|F_0| = |F_{\text{obs}}| \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\bar{T}\lambda^2 F_{\text{obs}}^2 r^*}{V^2 \sin 2\theta} \right\}^{-1/4}$$
(1)

where,

$$r^* = r \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\lambda^2 g^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

r is the mean radius of a perfect volume of crystal and g is the mosaic spread parameter. The weights used in these refinements were obtained from the formula $w=1/\sigma^2$ where $\sigma^2=\sigma_s^2+0.03I$, and σ_s is the standard deviation due to counting statistics alone and I is the observed intensity. In these refinements the symmetry-related equivalents appear separately but some of them with large errors were rejected. The values of r^* from these refinements were:

$$T = 23^{\circ}C \quad \lambda = 1.05 \quad r^* = 3,400(800) \text{ Å} \\ \lambda = 1.55 \quad r^* = 3,500(900) \\ T = 120^{\circ}C \quad \lambda = 1.05 \quad r^* = 2,000(600) \\ T = 136^{\circ}C \quad \lambda = 1.17 \quad r^* = 3,100(1000) .$$

(Here, and elsewhere in this paper, the figures in brackets are 1 e.s.d. obtained from the least mean-squares refinements.)

The fact that r^* does not change from wavelength 1.05 to 1.55 Å indicates that the crystal is of type II in the terminology of Zachariasen (1968) and hence the assumption that primary extinction could be neglected is valid.

All observations were then corrected using equation (1) with $r^* = 3500$ Å. For the 23°C data the scale factors from these initial refinements were also applied and the two sets of data for the two wavelengths were averaged. Finally the symmetry-related equivalents were averaged to give the F_o values for the final refinements.

In the refinement of structure factors it was assumed that the ammonium group was completely disordered between the two possible orientations. Half-hydrogen atoms were placed in eightfold positions $c/\sqrt{3}$ (± 1 , ± 1 , ± 1) where c is the N-H bond length, and N is at the origin.

The thermal motions of the Br and N atoms were described by the traditional Debye-Waller factors of the form $\exp(-B\sin^2\theta/\lambda^2)$. The thermal motion of the hydrogen atoms was described as a convolution of three components: the isotropic, Gaussian displacement of the NH₄ group; an isotropic, Gaussian libration about the equilibrium position; and a Gaussian oscillation of the H atom along the N-H bond. That is to say the description of the thermal motion in the refinement was formulated directly in terms of parameters that lend themselves to a physical interpretation.

The angular vibration is described in real space as:

$$t(\sigma) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{\langle \sigma^2 \rangle}\right)$$

where $t(\sigma)$ is the probability of an angular displacement of σ , and $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$ is the mean-square amplitude of angular vibration. The problem of evaluating the Fourier transform of a curvilinear motion like this has been discussed recently by Pryor & Sanger (1970) and leads to the formula:

Table 1.	. Refinement	of the	$\mathbf{NH}_{4}\mathbf{Br} data$
----------	--------------	--------	-----------------------------------

Figures in brackets are estimated standard deviations.

	Figures in Diackets	are estimated stand	alu uçviations.		
	Parameter	23°C	1 20 °C	136°C	23°C (Using conven- tional Debye- Waller factor)
$B_{\rm N}$	<i>B</i> factor of NH ₄ group (Å ²)	2.31 (0.03)	2.62 (0.09)	3.48 (0.06)	2.32 (0.04)
BBr	B factor of Br, $(Å^2)$	2.01 (0.04)	2·56 (0·10)	3·10 (0·06)	2.08 (0.04)
с	N-H bond length, (Å)	1.046 (0.005)	1.049 (0.010)	1.040 (0.005)	1.019 (0.005)
$\langle \sigma^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	R.m.s. angular vibration of NH ₄ group (°)	11.0 (0.5)	12.4 (0.9)	12.1 (0.6)	11.3 (0.5)
$\langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	R.m.s. vibration of N-H bond length (Å)	0.10 (0.03)	0.11 (0.05)	0.10 (0.02)	0.11 (0.03)
• •	$R_w(\%)$	3.7	4.0	2.5	4 ∙1
	R_u (%)	3.5	4.4	2.7	3.8

 Table 2. Comparison of experimental and calculated values of the amplitudes of rotation and bond vibration and experimental values of the Debye diffraction temperature

Figures in brackets are estimated standard deviations.

Temper-	Rotational an $\langle \sigma^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	nplitude	Bond-vibration $\langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	Debye temperature	
ature	Exp.	Calc.	Exp.	Calc.	θ_m
23°C	11·0 (0·5)°	9∙4°	0·10 (0·3) Å	0∙073 Å	184·1 °K
120	12.4 (0.9)	10.1	0.11 (0.05)	0.073	186.8
136	12.1 (0.6)	10.1	0.10 (0.02)	0.073	171.5



Fig. 1. Angular dependence of the nuclear density of hydrogen atoms on the surface of a sphere of radius equal to the N-H distance of 1.048 Å. The distributions shown are the results of refinements of the NH₄I data using Kubic Harmonics K_0 , K_2 , K_3 .

$$T_R = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left[Q^2c^2 - (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{c})^2 \langle \sigma^2 \rangle\right]/(1 - i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{c} \langle \sigma^2 \rangle\right]\right\}}{1 - i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{c} \langle \sigma^2 \rangle}$$
(2)

where \mathbf{Q} =the scattering vector (with $Q=4\pi \sin \theta/\lambda$) and $\mathbf{c}=c/l/3$ (±1,±1,±1). When this complete formulation is used there is no longer any need to apply a 'libration correction' (see Cruickshank, 1961) to the bond length.

The bond-vibration part, when Fourier-transformed, becomes

$$T_L = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}[(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{c})^2 \langle u^2 \rangle]\right\}$$

where $\langle u^2 \rangle$ is the mean-square vibration amplitude along the bond.

The complete formula used in the refinement was:

$$kF_c = T_{\rm N}[b_{\rm N} + \frac{1}{2}b_{\rm H} \sum_{\rm c} T_R T_L \exp\left(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{c}\right)] \pm T_{\rm B}b_{\rm Br}, \quad (3)$$

where $T_N = \exp(-B_N \sin^2 \theta / \lambda^2)$, $T_{Br} = \exp(-B_{Br} \sin^2 \theta / \lambda^2)$, $B_N = \text{the } B$ factor of the NH₄ group, $B_{Br} =$ the *B* factor of Br, and b_N , b_H , b_{Br} are the scattering lengths of the N, H and Br atoms respectively, taken from the recent table published by the Neutron Diffraction Commission (1969).

A full-matrix least-mean-squares refinement of the absorption-extinction-corrected data, based on equation (3) gave the parameters of Table 1. The *R* indices referred to in the Tables are $R_w = (\sum w\Delta^2 / \sum wF_0^2)^{1/2}$, $R_u = \sum |\Delta| / \sum |F_o|$, and $\chi^2 = \sum w\Delta^2 / (n-p)$ where $\Delta = |F_o| - |F_c|$, *n* is the number of reflexions, and *p* is the number of parameters.

It is of interest to determine whether the elaborate formula for T_R [equation (2)] including the effect of curvilinear motion of the H atoms, makes much difference to the refinement. This is easily done by putting $i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{c}\langle\sigma^2\rangle = 0$ in equation (2). The results of this refinement are given in the fourth column of Table 1 for the 23°C data. The value of the R indices is slightly in-

		Ч	3.9 (1.1) 3.5 (0.8) 3.5 (0.8) 0.92 (0.14 23.0 23.0 22.0				
		00	4.5 (0.8) 5.6 (0.6) 0.94 (0.6) 				
he NH ₄ ion orientation		f	4.2 (0-5) 4.4 (0-4) 0-90 (0-09) 42.0° (7-0) 14.3 13.0 5.7				
							в
to describe th	ard deviations.	q	3.7 (0.2) 4.3 (0.2) 1.05 (0.01) 9.3° (0.9) 5.6 5.9 0.9				
trious models	stimated stand	υ	3-7 (0-2) 4-3 (0-2) 1-05 (0-01) 7-7 (0-7) 5-6 0-3				
data using va	ı brackets are e	p	3-3 (0-3) 3-8 (0-3) 1-04 (0-01) 10-0 2-7 2-7				
nents of NH ₄ I	Figures in	а	3.8 (0.4) 4.2 (0.4) 1.05 (0.02) - 1.2.4 5.0				
Table 3. Refinen	Model	B factor of I (Å ²) B factor of NH ₄ ion (Å ²) N-H bond length R.m.s. angular vibration of NH ₄ ion R_w (%) R_u (%) χ^2					
			$B_{ m NH4}$ $B_{ m NH4}$ $\langle \sigma^2 angle^{1/2}$				

creased; none of the vibration parameters are significantly altered, but the N-H bond length is shorter. Note, however, that when Cruickshank's libration correction of $\frac{1}{2}c\langle\sigma^2\rangle$ (=0.020 Å) is applied the value of column 1 is recovered (within 1 e.s.d.). It may therefore be said that the longer formula is not really necessary.

Discussion of NH₄Br results

The vibration parameters of Table 1 lend themselves to straightforward interpretation. We have $4\pi^2 v_R^2 I \langle \sigma^2 \rangle = \varepsilon_R$ and $4\pi^2 v_L^2 m_H \langle u^2 \rangle = \varepsilon_L$ where I is the moment of inertia of the NH₄ group, $m_{\rm H}$ the mass of an H atom, ε_R and ε_L are the energies of rotational oscillation and of bond-length oscillation. The frequency of rotational oscillation, v_R , is quoted by Venkataraman, Usha, Iyengar, Vijayaraghavan & Roy (1962) and that of bond length oscillation, v_L , by Herzberg (1951), as 298 cm⁻¹ and 3350 cm⁻¹ respectively. Energies are calculated from the usual formula, $\varepsilon = hv/2 + nhv$ with $n = \{\exp(-hv/kT) - 1\}^{-1}$. The comparison of experimental and calculated values is shown in Table 2 and is quite satisfactory.

The *B* factors are not susceptible to such a straightforward interpretation. However, as the transition temperature is approached, they seem to increase faster than the usual formulae would predict. To illustrate this we have calculated the conventional Debye temperature, Θ_m , for a diatomic molecule from a wellknown formula

$$\frac{1}{2}(m_1B_1 + m_2B_2) = \frac{6h^2T}{k\Theta_m^2} \psi(\Theta_m/T) \,.$$

If the increase in *B* factors with temperature is greater than normal then the value of Θ will drop. These values are also shown in Table 2 and support our contention of an anomalous increase in vibration amplitude. The value of Θ_m calculated at 136°C is about 7% lower than the values calculated at 23 and 120°C, indicating that the experimental *B* factors are 14% higher than would be expected.

The N-H bond length, 1.046 (0.005) Å, is slightly larger than the value 1.031 (0.004) Å obtained by Gutowsky, Pake & Bersohn (1954) from nuclear magnetic resonance.

Collection and analysis of NH₄I data

With the single crystal of NH_4I the intensities of 42 reflexions were measured with a neutron wavelength of 1.17 Å and the intensities of 80 reflexions were measured at a wavelength of 1.55 Å. At both wavelengths measurements were taken on three symmetryrelated equivalents of each reflexion. The dimensions of the crystal were about $2 \times 2 \times 1$ mm. For this crystal the absorption correction is negligible and, if we assume the extinction parameter r^* is of the same order as determined for NH_4Br , extinction is also small. It could not be determined from the experiment.

The intensities of the symmetry-related equivalents were averaged. The two sets of data at the two wavelengths were also averaged after adjustment by the scale factors obtained in preliminary separate refinements.

The first analyses of the data were based on the models discussed by Levy & Peterson (1953). These models propose various orientations of the NH_4 ion as follows:

- (a) free rotation of the NH_4 ion;
- (b) the N-H bond in a (100) direction and free rotation of the ion about the bond;
- (c) two hydrogen atoms make closest approaches to two I ions with typical hydrogen positions $c/\sqrt{6}$ $(1-\sqrt{2}, 1+\sqrt{2}, 0), c/\sqrt{6} (1+\sqrt{2}, 1-\sqrt{2}, 0), c/\sqrt{6}$ (-1, -1, 2) and $c/\sqrt{6} (-1, -1, -2)$;
- (d) the approach of three hydrogen atoms to three I ions is minimized with hydrogen positions $c/\sqrt{3}$ (-1, -1, -1), $c/3\sqrt{3}$ (-1, -1, 5), $c/3\sqrt{3}$ (-1, 5, -1) and $c/3\sqrt{3}$ (5, -1, -1);
- (f) the hydrogen atoms lie in $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions;
- (g) the NH₄ ion rotates about its 3-axis which is set parallel to a crystal 3-axis;
- (h) the ion rotates about its 4-axis set parallel to a crystal 4-axis.

In all cases it is assumed that all symmetry-related orientations are occupied with equal probability. In those models (c, d, e and f) where no rotation of the group

Table 4. Refinements of NH₄I data using Kubic Harmonics to describe the hydrogen distribution

Figures	in	brackets	are	estimated	standard	deviations	
i iguiça	111	UTACACIS	arc	connateu	stanuaru	ucviations.	

	Kubic harmonics	K_0	K_{0}, K_{2}	K_0, K_2, K_3	K_0, K_2, K_3, K	$K_0, K_2, K_3, K_{4,5}$
B_{I}	B factor of I $(Å^2)$	3.8 (0.4)	3.63 (0.10)	3.64 (0.10)	3.64 (0.10)	3.63 (0.10)
$B_{\rm NH4}$	B factor of NH ion $(Å^2)$	4.2 (0.4)	4.27 (0.10)	4·29 (0·10)	4·29 (0·10)	4.27 (0.10)
с	N–H bond length (Å)	1.05 (0.02)	1.052 (0.001)	1.053 (0.006)	1.053 (0.006)	1.054 (0.006)
	<i>a</i> ₂		4.0 (0.2)	4.1 (0.2)	4.1 (0.2)	4.1 (0.2)
	<i>a</i> ₃			-31.2 (10.0)	- 31·2 (10·4)	-31.7 (10.5)
	<i>a</i> ₄				0.61 (21.0)	3.8 (21.0)
	<i>a</i> ₄					-430·0 (650·0)
	R_w (%)	13.7	4.15	3.67	3.70	3.65
	R_u (%)	12.4	3.9	4 ∙0	4.0	3.9
	χ ²	5.0	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4

is involved, the NH₄ ion can librate about its equilibrium position. The Fourier transform of the smearing function of a hydrogen atom undergoing such libration is given by equation (2). The structure factors were calculated using the expression

$$k|F_{c}| = T_{\rm N}(b_{\rm N} + b_{\rm H}T_{\rm H}) \pm T_{\rm I}b_{\rm I} , \qquad (4)$$

where the *b* values are the neutron scattering lengths, $T_{\rm N} = \exp(-B_{\rm N}\sin^2\theta/\lambda^2)$, $T_{\rm I} = \exp(-B_{\rm I}\sin^2\theta/\lambda^2)$ and $T_{\rm H}$ is the Fourier transform of the distribution of hydrogen density given by one of the above models. The results of refinements of the NH₄I data using each of the models are given in Table 3.

A general way, independent of any physical model, of describing the NH_4 ion orientation is to describe the distribution of nuclear density of the hydrogen atoms around the central nitrogen atom in terms of Kubic Harmonic functions (von der Lage & Bethe, 1947). The distribution of the four hydrogen atoms in real space, with the N atom at rest, can be written:

$$t_{\rm H}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi c^2} \sum a_m K_m \delta(r-c) , \qquad (5)$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta function, the a_m are amplitude coefficients, and the K_m are angle-dependent functions, the centrosymmetric Kubic Harmonics.

The first few K_m are:

$$K_0 = 1, K_1 = 0, K_2 = (x^4 + y^4 + z^4)/\varrho^4 - 3/5,$$

$$K_3 = x^2 y^2 z^2 / \varrho^6 + K_2 / 22 - 1/105,$$

$$K_4 = (x^8 + y^8 + z^8)/\varrho^8 - 28K_3 / 5 - 210K_2 / 143 - 1/3.$$

where $\varrho^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. To calculate the structure factors the Fourier transforms of the Kubic Harmonics as given by Sanger (1968) were used.

The total hydrogen distribution in real space is a convolution of the $t_{\rm H}(\mathbf{r})$ with the thermal smearing function of the NH₄ group so that the structure factors are given by the expression (4) where $T_{\rm H}$ is the Fourier transform of $t_{\rm H}(\mathbf{r})$. The $|F_c|$ were fitted to the data by the least-squares method with the coefficients, a_m , as parameters (but with a_0 held fixed at 1 in order to provide correct normalization). These refinements are given in Table 4.

It is obvious that the Kubic Harmonic description is significantly better than the best of the models, and that the two coefficients a_2 and a_3 are enough: nothing is gained by adding further coefficients. The angular distribution of hydrogen atoms, calculated from equation (5) with $a_0=1$, $a_2=4\cdot 1$, $a_3=31\cdot 2$, is shown in Fig. 1.

Discussion of NH₄I results

The refinements using Kubic Harmonics converged to a better R index than any of the models and show the hydrogen atoms smeared out in sixfold positions with a full width at half maximum height of about 70°. A weakness of all the models proposed by Levy & Peterson is that they assume the N atom remains in the face-centred position. It is probable that the N atom undergoes a displacement so that the tetrahedral NH₄ ion can attain an equilibrium position in the field of the six surrounding I ions. For example, a displacement of about 0.1 Å in $\langle 110 \rangle$ directions allows the hydrogen atoms of model (c) – closest approach by two hydrogen atoms to two I ions - to fall within the distribution of Fig. 1. The observed B factors are much larger than what might reasonably be expected. For a diatomic lattice, B values can be calculated by the wellestablished technique (Pryor, 1966) of solving the secular equation for a mesh of points in the Brillouin zone. This was done, over a mesh of 64,000 points, for a simple rigid-ion model, the parameters of which were obtained from the compressibility of 6.6×10^{-12} cm² dyne⁻¹ for NH₄I in the NaCl phase (Gibbs & Jarman, 1962), and gave values of 2.04 and 1.99 Å² for the B factors of the NH₄ and I ions respectively, compared with the experimental values of 4.26 and 3.69 Å². This discrepancy suggests that there are displacements of both ions away from their face-centred positions.

The analysis also illustrates the value of Kubic Harmonics in some situations involving cubic symmetry. They do not always provide the best approach however. If the NH₄Br results were analysed using Kubic Harmonics the description was still inadequate even with Harmonics up to K_8 : the peaks in the angular distribution are too sharp for a convenient description in terms of Kubic Harmonics. It is essential to be sure that the sum is taken to as many terms as are necessary.

We are grateful to Professor J. F. McConnell and Dr J. C. Taylor for advice and acknowledge the assistance of a grant from the Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering. The critical comments of our colleagues, P. L. Sanger and T. M. Sabine were also of great value.

Reference

- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 896.
- GIBBS, D. F. & JARMAN, M. (1962). Phil. Mag. 7, 663.
- GUTOWSKY, H. S., PAKE, G. E. & BERSOHN, R. (1954). J. Chem. Phys. 22, 643.
- HERZBERG, G. (1951). Infrared and Raman Spectra of Polyatomic Molecules. New York: Van Nostrand.
- LAGE, F. C. VONDER & BETHE, H. A. (1947). Phys. Rev. 71, 612.
- LEVY, H. A. & PETERSON, S. W. (1953). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 75, 1536.
- Neutron Diffraction Commission (1969). Acta Cryst. A 25, 391.
- PRYOR, A. W. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 138.
- PRYOR, A. W., ELLIS, P. J. & DULLOW, R. J. (1969). J. Appl. Cryst. 1, 272.
- PRYOR, A. W. & SANGER, P. L. (1970). Acta Cryst. In the press.
- SANGER, P. L. (1968). Ph. D. Thesis, University of New South Wales.
- VENKATARAMAN, G., USHA, K., IYENGAR, P. K., VIJAY-ARAGHAVAN, P. R. & ROY, A. P. (1963). Inelastic Scattering of Neutrons in Solids and Liquids, Vol. II, p. 253. Vienna: I.A.E.A.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 212.